From: GAINER Tom

To: ANDERSON Jim M; Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Sean

Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; BLISCHKE Heidi

Subject: FW: BP Portland Terminal T22 **Date:** 04/04/2006 02:52 PM

Jim, Eric, Chip, Sean, and Heidi-

I'd like to have meeting with you and BP/Arco to discuss their interest in conducting in-water sediment removal as an early action, so please reply with your available 2-hour blocks for the week of 4/17 and any time after 5/1. Let's avoid Fridays and assume the meeting will be held at DEQ NWR (see proposed agenda below).

BP plans to install a new sheet pile containment wall through their existing concrete containment wall sometime this summer. The new wall primarily addresses geotechnical stability issues for the terminal but will benefit existing upland hydraulic containment source control efforts as well. Installation of the new vertical wall will result in a hydraulically detached portion of petroleum contaminated soil/sediment beneath the old, riverward concrete revetment (the angled portion of the seawall), and it is this contaminated source material that they would like to remove around summer 2007. In addition, they may want to remove some or all of their contaminated near-shore contaminated sediment during the same in-water mobilization.

I hope to hear about your availability soon.

Thanks-

Tom

----Original Message-----

From: Garner, Erin [mailto:garne0@bp.com] Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2006 10:48 AM

To: GAINER Tom

Cc: Mark Schneider; Khajetoorians, Asteghik; Eric Larsen; Mike_Edwards@URSCorp.com; rhaddad@charter.net; Winsor, Henry C; Halsey, Ronald H; Beckmann, Dennis D; Hooton, Scott T

Subject: BP Portland Terminal T22

Hi Tom-

As discussed earlier this morning, I would appreciate any help you can lend in facilitating a meeting with the appropriate folks from EPA to discuss the potential for entering an early action agreement for BP Portland Terminal T22. Please feel free to forward this email as necessary. Some potential agenda items might include:

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Review Site Conditions (including Hydrogeology and Geochemistry)
- 3. Conceptual seawall replacement plans and schedule
- 4. Conceptual revetment and in-water sediment removal plans and schedule
- 5. Early Action considerations: typical conditions for successful implementation
- 6. Other (?)
- 7. Action Items

As I mentioned, I would like to involve some of our technical and legal team to present site background and to directly understand the implications of early action. I would hope that you and anyone else you think appropriate from DEQ and EPA can also attend.

Because of the necessary review of site conditions and the anticipation that we will have many

questions regarding potential Early Action implementation, I would anticipate approximately 2 hours for the meeting. Also, because we would like to expedite our IRM removal programs, we should try and meet as soon as practical for all involved. I'm available most of the week of 4/17 and 5/1 (as well as most of May). Please call or email when you get a chance. Thanks again for your help, Tom.

Regards-

Erin Garner
Environmental Business Manager
Atlantic Richfield Company
(a BP Affiliated Company)
P.O. Box 1257
San Ramon, CA 94583
(408) 569-7647 - Cell
garne0@bp.com

Notice: This email may contain priveleged or other confidential information. Please do no not forward it anyone outside of BP Corporation without authorization. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.